U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

RECREATION USE FEE COLLECTION PROGRAM, INTER For use of this form, see AR 11-2, ER 1130-2-550 an				,			
1. DISTRICT	2. PROJECT						
3. PERIOD REVIEWED FROM DATE	4. PER	RIOD RE	EVIEWE	ED TO DATE			
INSTRU	ICTION	 S					
1. The following questions are used for the managers' internal control program (<i>MICP</i>), command reviews, functional staff reviews, or other local internal control reviews to establish and maintain effective internal controls, assess areas of risk, identify and correct weaknesses in those controls, and keep superiors informed.							
2. This checklist is broken down into three sections: manager, operations project manager, and district natural resource management (NRM) chief. the questions are not listed in order of priority and additional data on program performance may be obtained from other reports/sources.							
3. answers must be based on the actual testing of key internal controls (for example answers should indicate that key internal controls are in place, being used as deficiencies must be explained and corrective actions taken. whether the weat level of command is a management judgement that must be made based on control program.	intende akness is	ed, and a s seriou	are effe s enouç	ctive in achieving their purpose. answers which indicate gh to be considered material and reported to the next			
SECTION I	- MANA	GER					
QUESTION	SE (a)	(1) LECT C (b) NOT	ONE (c)	(2) REMARKS			
	MET	MET	N/A	(If "Not Met" Explain Why or Corrective Actions)			
1. DO RECREATION FEE CASHIERS (<i>RFCs</i>), ALTERNATE RECREATION FEE CASHIERS (<i>ARFCs</i>), AND ALL AUTHORIZED COLLECTORS (<i>ACs</i>) HAVE APPOINTMENT LETTERS?							
2. ARE THE RFC, ARFC, AND AC APPOINTMENT LETTERS CURRENT?							
3. INSPECTIONS:							
a. Did the RFC/ARFC visit each gate house a minimum of once per week?				Date of last inspection			
b. Were fee collection contract (FCC) reviews of use fee collection registers and records conducted in accordance with the contract's quality assurance surveillance plan?				Date of last inspection			
4. AUDITS:	•						
a. Were random audits of all authorized collectors, including contract gate attendant (CGAs), volunteer fee collector (VFCs) and FCC(s) conducted at least once every five (5) years?				Date of last audit			
b. Were quarterly audits of the AC(s), gate CGA(s), VFC(s) and FCC(s) conducted?				Date of last audit			
c. Were semi-annual audits of the RFC and ARFC conducted?				Date of last audit			
d. Was an annual audit of historic park visitation data and dollar fee collections performed and a report of findings forwarded to the district chief, operations division?				Date of last audit			
5. ARE ALL CGA(s), VFC(s) AND FCC(s) BONDED?	П	\Box	П				

QUESTION		(1) SELECT ONE			(2)
		(a)	(b)	(c)	
		MET	NOT MET	N/A	REMARKS (If "Not Met" Explain Why or Corrective Actions)
6. ARE ALL CGA(s), VFC(s) AND FCC(s) BONDS CURRENT?					
7. HAVE ALL THOSE RESPONSIBLE FOR RECREATION USE FEE COLLECTION AND OVERSIGHT RECEIVED THE REQUIRED AUTHORIZED COLLECTORS TRAINING?					
8. HAS THE AUTHORIZED COLLECTORS TRAINING TRACKING SHEET (ENG FORM 6070) BEEN UPDATED TO REFLECT DATES OF TRAINING, NUMBER TRAINED, AND THE METHOD OF TRAINING (classroom, desk side brief, electronic briefing slides)?					
9. IS THE KEY CONTROL OFFICER A SEPARATE INDIVIDUAL THAN THOSE ASSIGNED TO PERFORM DUTIES AS A RFC, ARFC OR AC?					
10. IS THE MASTER KEY CONTROL LIST CURRENT?					
11. IS ACCOUNTABLE FEE PROPERTY ISSUED TO AUTHORIZED PERSONS ONLY?					
12. IS ACCOUNTABLE FEE PROPERTY ISSUED USING A DA OTHER APPROPRIATE RECEIPT?	A FORM 410 OR				
13. IS ANY ACCOUNTABLE FEE PROPERTY BEING SHAREL	0?				
14. IS ALL ACCOUNTABLE PROPERTY (issued and unissued) PROPERLY SECURED?					
15. ARE HONOR VAULT COLLECTIONS BEING COUNTED AND VERIFIED IN THE PRESENCE OF AT LEAST TWO (2) AUTHORIZED PERSONS, AT LEAST ONE OF WHICH IS A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE?					
16. ARE SAFES PROPERLY RATED AND ACCESSIBLE TO ONLY AUTHORIZED PERSONS?					
17. ARE LOCKING MONEY BAGS BEING USED TO TRANSPORT FUNDS?					
18. DO ALL HONOR VAULTS UTILIZE A DOUBLE KEY LOCK ACCESS / INSERT BOX?					
19. ARE CONTROLS IN PLACE TO ENSURE NO ONE HAS ACCESS TO BOTH KEYS FOR THE DOUBLE KEY LOCK ACCESS / INSERT BOX?					
20. ARE RANDOM INSPECTIONS OF HONOR VAULTS BEING CONDUCTED AT LEAST ONCE EVERY FIVE (5) YEARS TO ENSURE A DOUBLE BOX / LOCK SYSTEM IS IN PLACE AND NO ONE PERSON HAS KEYS TO BOTH REMOVE AND OPEN THE INSERT?					DATE OF LAST AUDIT
21. IS THE RFC / ARFC USING THE APPROPRIATE APPROVED SWD ACCOUNTABLE FEE PROPERTY AND USE FEE TRACKING SPREADSHEETS TO ENSURE ACCOUNTABILITY?					
22. ARE THE APPROPRIATE APPROVED AUDIT FORMS BEING USED TO CONDUCT REVIEWS OF ALL AUTHORIZED COLLECTORS?					
23. ARE ALL DISCREPANCIES DOCUMENTED AND NECESSARY CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN AND REPORTED TO THE OPERATIONS PROJECT MANAGER AND DISTRICT NRM CHIEF?					
24. REMARKS/SUMMARY					
25a. MANAGER'S NAME b. DATE			c. MAN	NAGER'	'S SIGNATURE
26a. INTERNAL CONTROL/QA REVIEWER'S NAME b. DATE			c. INTE	ERNAL	CONTROL/QA REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE

ENG FORM 6071, NOV 2015 Page 2 of 4

SECTION II - OPERATIONS PROJECT MANAGER							
		a. SELECT ONE		NE	b.		
QUESTION		(1) MET	(2) NOT MET	(3) N/A	REMARKS		
1. HAS MANAGEMENT CONDUCTED RFC / ARFC AUDITS IN TI MONTHS?	HE LAST 6				(If "Not Met" Explain Why or Corrective Actions)		
2. ARE QUARTERLY AUDITS OF ALL AC(s), CGA(s), VFC(s) AN BEING CONDUCTED ON A QUARTERLY BASIS?	D FCC(s)						
HAS MANAGEMENT CONDUCTED A REVIEW WITHIN THE L MONTHS OF FEE COLLECTION REVENUE AND COMPARED HISTORICAL AVERAGES?							
4. HAS MANAGEMENT CONDUCTED AN AUDIT OF THE RECR PROGRAM TO INCLUDE DAY USE / CAMPING FEE VAULTS, ATTENDANTS, VOLUNTEERS AND NRRS COLLECTIONS WI LAST 12 MONTHS?	, GATE						
5. WERE DISCREPANCIES FROM ANY AUDIT DOCUMENTED A REPORTED BY MANAGEMENT ALONG WITH A CORRECTIV PLAN?							
6. WERE DISCREPANCIES AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS TO THE DISTRICT CHIEF, OPERATIONS DIVISION?	REPORTED						
7. DO MANAGERS FOLLOW UP ON AUDIT FINDINGS, NOTED DISCREPANCIES AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLANS?							
8. HAS THE OPERATIONS PROJECT MANAGER REVIEWED AT MARKED "NOT MET" UNDER THE MANAGER SECTION?	NY ITEMS						
10a. OPERATIONS PROJECT MANAGER'S NAME b. I	DATE		c. OPE	RATIO	NS PROJECT MANAGER'S SIGNATURE		
11a. INTERNAL CONTROL/QA REVIEWER'S NAME b. l	DATE		c. INTE	ERNAL	CONTROL/QA REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE		

ENG FORM 6071, NOV 2015 Page 3 of 4

SECTION III - DISTRICT NRM CHIEF						
		a. SELECT ONE		NE	b.	
QUESTION		(1)	(2) NOT	(3)	REMARKS	
		MET	MET	N/A	(If "Not Met" Explain Why or Corrective Actions)	
1. WERE ANNUAL AUDIT REPORTS RECEIVED FROM ALL PRO IN THE DISTRICT THAT SHOW HISTORIC RECREATION ARE VISITATION DATA AND DOLLAR (\$) FEE COLLECTIONS?						
2. DO OPERATIONS PROJECT MANAGERS FOLLOW UP ON AL FINDINGS, NOTED DISCREPANCIES AND CORRECTIVE ACT PLANS?						
3. HAS THE DISTRICT NRM CHIEF REVIEWED ANY ITEMS MAR "NOT MET" UNDER THE OPERATIONS PROJECT MANAGER						
4. REMARKS/SUMMARY						
5a. DISTRICT NRM CHIEF'S NAME b	o. DATE		c D	ISTRIC	T NRM CHIEF'S SIGNATURE	
U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	5/.16		0. 0	.57110	5.11. 5 5.5.000	
6a. INTERNAL CONTROL/QA REVIEWER'S NAME b	o. DATE		c. IN	NTERNA	AL CONTROL/QA REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	

ENG FORM 6071, NOV 2015 Page 4 of 4